

**From:** [Tom Tidman](#)  
**To:** [Roland Bartl](#); [Doug Halley](#); [Justin Snair](#)  
**Subject:** RE: 57 Robbins St/Beacon Ct  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 4:00:48 PM

---

Hi Roland,

In response to your questions concerning 'Beacon Court': (i) The discrepancy in acreage (or area to be set-a-side as undeveloped and protected) has been clarified with the Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program, however, we have not received the corrected language. My understanding from Foresite Engineering is that their wildlife experts 'Oxbow Associates, had simply reversed the set-a-side open space acreage number with the lot area to be developed. The Conservation Commission has issued Orders of Conditions for both lots approving the proposal. A special condition was established requiring that the applicant meet all requirements as set forth by the endangered species program. (ii) Additionally, the Commission did condition the project requiring that all paint cans and dumped material be removed from the site, the applicant agreed to this condition as well.

-Tom

---

**From:** Roland Bartl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 3:14 PM  
**To:** Tom Tidman; Doug Halley; Justin Snair  
**Subject:** FW: 57 Robbins St/Beacon Ct

Can you fill me in on this before the Planning Board's subdivisioin hearing tonight?

Roland Bartl, AICP  
Planning Director  
472 Main Street  
Acton, MA 01720  
(978) 264-9636

---

**From:** [kilpatrick\\_6@verizon.net](mailto:kilpatrick_6@verizon.net) [mailto:[kilpatrick\\_6@verizon.net](mailto:kilpatrick_6@verizon.net)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 3:09 PM  
**To:** Roland Bartl  
**Subject:** 57 Robbins St/Beacon Ct

Hi Roland,

I have reviewed the info listed on the Docushare system for the meeting tonight (1/4/11.)

Just wondering if the developer submitted a "corrected" version for the Division of Fisheries & Wildlife letter - Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Prgram (NHESP) Dec. 1, 2010 Letter ? During the Conservation Commission Meeting, it was discovered that the numbers on the letter were incorrect. It is written that 1.33 acres are protected from development by a deed restriction, however, the developer said that 1.33 should be in the limit of work. The Conservation Commission said they would not give their approval without a corrected letter from the state. Has that been submitted?

Also, have you spoken to Doug Halley about the area of the property that was discovered by the ConsCom as a possible dumping area, containing possible lead paint cans among many other metal

objects? The ConsCom was “alarmed” by the items out there and has made it a requirement that the builder has to remove the objects. How does this have to be handled? How does the waste have to be disposed of safely? Should soil samples be taken to check for contamination? Should other areas of the property be looked at to see if there is dumping or hazardous waste?

Thank you,  
Michelle Kilpatrick