

**Christine Joyce**

1/5 (4)

**From:** Don Johnson  
**Sent:** Monday, December 29, 2003 1:49 PM  
**To:** Christine Joyce; John Murray  
**Subject:** FW: FW: Grace Risk Assessment

Please be alert for the need to include this either Friday on Monday.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Don Johnson  
**Sent:** Monday, December 29, 2003 1:48 PM  
**To:** Walter Foster I  
**Cc:** Doug Halley  
**Subject:** RE: FW: Grace Risk Assessment

Walter:  
Doug is on vacation this week but he will be back in the office Monday. I will try to reach him this week but if I am unable to do so I will have him draft it when he returns on Monday and put it in the Extra Information materials for the Board's meeting.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Walter Foster I  
**Sent:** Saturday, December 27, 2003 12:33 PM  
**To:** Don Johnson  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Grace Risk Assessment

Don - Yes, I would like to have a letter ready for signature the Jan 5th meeting. We need to have EPA (I understand the person was relatively new) be on the same page. I have asked Mary to draft something up, but please have Doug go ahead and draft up a letter for our consideration. Thanks.

Walter

Don Johnson wrote:

Walter: Doug's message is forwarded for your information. Is there something you would like us to prepare for the January 5 meeting? Regards, Don

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Don Johnson  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 24, 2003 2:10 PM  
**To:** Doug Halley  
**Cc:** John Murray  
**Subject:** RE: Grace Risk Assessment

Doug: I agree. I will contact Walter and get back to you.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Doug Halley  
**Sent:** Friday, December 19, 2003 1:16 PM  
**To:** Don Johnson

**Cc:** John Murray

**Subject:** Grace Risk Assessment

The Government parties and Grace met this week to discuss the proposed Risk Assessment developed by Grace's consultant Menzie-Cura. During that meeting EPA took the position and advised Grace that the proposed Risk Assessment was too rigorous. O'Reilly, Talbot & Okun had previously reviewed the Risk Assessment and found that it was a reasonable proposal appropriately conservative to public health. We have verbally expressed our disagreement with EPA's position and intend to follow-up with written support of the proposal as submitted. However, Mary Michelman has told me that she relayed this information to Walter and he is expecting something for his signature at the Board's January 5th meeting. I am willing to draft a letter but I feel it would be more appropriate if that direction came from you and not from Mary. Thanks.